



# OUR VIEW



## Searching for Universal Investment Truths (What's Worked and What Hasn't?)

"Stocks are the new bonds," "just buy index funds," "ETFs are all that are required," "use liquid alternatives to modify portfolio price volatility," "control your currency exposure with hedge funds," or "pick the guys who pick the guys who pick the best performers"—all are admonitions offered by today's talking heads on cable, the media, consultants, and other investment consiglieri, but almost never in the context of an individual's investment circumstances, goals, and time horizon.

We all are continually looking for simplified universal models to support our personal forecasts, or to rationalize current events. Einstein explained the formation of the universe in one simple equation ( $E=MC^2$ ), which during a full college semester of a History of Science course the writer still failed to grasp. Einstein famously also decreed (what could be the closest we get to a universal truth in economics) that the most powerful force in the universe is the rule of compound interest. But as he also allegedly suggested, "everything should be made as simple as possible, but no simpler."

Reducing complex concepts to mantra-like comfortable confirmational biases is an unavoidable human trait. Unfortunately, as we all know, we cannot find in economics or economists, social behavior, or free markets, universal prescriptions explaining or predicting investment outcomes. Wall Street brokers (or "sell-siders"), traffic in computerized financial models promising advantages over "the mob." "Buy-siders" (i.e., fee-based advisors and portfolio managers) flog algorithmic strategic and tactical approaches encouraging the impression that their unique systematic new wrinkle will produce superior investment results. Most prey on investor naiveté, or worse, greed, and the hope for a better-than-the-crowd performance. Troubling also is the tendency to apply "today's lessons learned" from recent investment market behavior to current circumstances. Recent market action almost always has little to do with today's or tomorrow's financial market outcomes. The quest to find the lowest common denominator with which to build personal wealth continues unabated, and is probably doomed to remain unfulfilled.

## AI to the Rescue?

Tesla's Elon Musk, and a group of other high tech Silicon Valley worthies have banded together to finance and influence possible AI (artificial intelligence) future applications. Targeting their own social concerns, and advancing the cause of robotics, driverless cars, medical diagnostics, and influencing the fundamental direction AI development takes in the future is the group's mission. AI programming, in a number of minor ways, pervades software development today (e.g., natural language voice recognition programs offered by Nuance, Siri on your Apple iPhones, and Facebook's facial recognition feature), but to date this software architecture which is supposed to learn from its own experience has advanced at a glacial pace.

*Financial models drawing upon the conventional wisdom of the day seldom yield the expected results.*



## *The Most Anticipated Rate Increase in Fed History . . .*

Needless to say, AI in the context of financial market modeling has had the attention of entrepreneurial high frequency traders and major sell-siders on Wall Street. If any of these players have discovered universal investment algorithms, they have either been patented or held as closely guarded secrets. Since relatively free financial and investment markets are driven by the interaction of virtually thousands of dependent variables, the chances of AI-based computer modeling sorting through all the ambient noise, and discovering actionable trends in today's global market environment seem remote.

But one issue Elon Musk's "digital intelligence project" might usefully opine upon would be the ethical framework software emulating spontaneous human cognition should adopt. What moral compass should guide an AI-based medical diagnostic program that has discovered a patient's genetic disposition to Hodgkin's disease? How should the soul of an AI logic tree programmed into algorithms influence the computerized outcome? If an extraordinarily talented AI programmer discovers a high frequency trading algorithm which disadvantages all other market participants, and which isn't per se illegal, how should the program solve the resulting ethical dilemma and present the outcome on the screen? Clearly, there remains much to be determined on all fronts.

## **This Week in the Markets**

The Federal Reserve raised its benchmark rate by one-quarter point on Wednesday, marking the end of an extraordinary seven-year period of near-zero interest rates. In announcing the change in policy, Fed Chairwoman, Janet Yellen, cited the Fed's confidence in the U.S. economic recovery. Ms. Yellen noted the considerable improvement in job growth and expansion of domestic consumer spending in her announcement of the Fed's first step toward normalizing interest rate policy.

The Fed's unanimous vote in favor of the rate move was released with a statement that emphasized that rate increases are expected to follow a "gradual" path, and that economic and other factors will influence the Fed's decision-making process. In the press conference that followed, Ms. Yellen discussed the Fed's outlook for the coming year which is for moderate growth to continue, diminishing labor market slack, and a gradual increase in inflation toward the Fed's long-term target of 2%.

Initially, markets responded favorably to the Fed announcement, with U.S. equities rallying on the news and Asian and European equities following suit overnight, but this rally has proven to be short-lived. Notably, long-term Treasuries and the dollar had a muted reaction to the well-telegraphed announcement. Investors appear to be comforted by the Fed's signal of a slow pace for subsequent rate increases as well as no immediate plan to shrink the size of the Fed's balance sheet, and sensitivity to the impact on the dollar if Fed policy moves too far, too fast relative to the rest of the world.

Our expectation is for 2016 to be a year of moderate global economic growth, slowly rising developed equity markets, and continuing long-term transition for emerging markets. Bond markets will take their cues from the Fed and from the pace of economic momentum. Our views on the economy and markets will continue to evolve during the year as we monitor market, economic and geopolitical developments. We will, of course, keep you informed of changes to our outlook and positioning.



As always, we welcome your comments and questions.

Sincerely,

**James L. Joslin, CFP®**  
Chairman & CEO

**Renée Kwok, CFP®**  
President

**Daniel S. Kern, CFA**  
Chief Investment Strategist

---

TFC Financial Management, Inc.  
260 Franklin Street, Suite 1888, Boston, MA 02110  
p 617.210.6700 | f 617.210.6750 | [tfcfinancial.com](http://tfcfinancial.com)

Disclaimers:

1. This commentary may include forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements (including words such as “believe,” “estimate,” “anticipate,” “may,” “will,” “should,” and “expect”). Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Various factors could cause actual results or performance to differ materially from those discussed in such forward-looking statements.
2. Past performance is not indicative of any specific investment or future results. Views regarding the economy, securities markets or other specialized areas, like all predictors of future events, cannot be guaranteed to be accurate and may result in economic loss to the investor.
3. This commentary is intended to provide general information only and should not be construed as an offer of specifically tailored individualized advice.
4. Any information provided regarding historical market performance is for illustrative and education purposes only. Clients or prospective clients should not assume that their performance will equal or exceed historical market results and/or averages.
5. While we believe the outside data sources cited to be credible, we have not independently verified the correctness of any of these inputs or calculations and, therefore, cannot warranty the accuracy of any third-party sources or information.
6. Specific securities identified and described do not represent all of the securities purchased, sold, or recommended for advisory clients, and the reader should not assume that investments in the securities identified and discussed were or will be profitable.
7. Any fund performance listed does not include advisory fees or other transactional expenses.
8. Unless otherwise indicated, reference to any vendors, investment managers or funds are purely illustrative and should not be construed as endorsements of their services or offerings. These references should not be interpreted to mean that comparable services can't be found elsewhere.
9. Investments in securities, including mutual funds and Exchange Traded Funds, involves risk of loss of income and principal.
10. Adviser does not endorse, recommend, or utilize any funds mentioned unless it is specifically stated.